**Application No. 10/646,945 REMARKS** 

Art Unit: 1762

**Examiner: Bashore** 

Remarks

Reconsideration of the rejection of the present application under 35

USC 103 is hereby requested.

Claims 19 - 21, 23-24, 28-30, 32, 36, 47-51, 58, 62-63, 75-77 stand

rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Xu in view of

Claims 22, 31, 33, 52-57, 59-61, 67 and 74 stand rejected Bethune et al.

under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xu et al in view of Bethune

et al and further in view of Smalley et al ('312). Claims 25-27, 37-40, 44-46

and 78-81 stand rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over

Xu et al in view of Bethune et al and further in view of Walker et al. Claims

34-35, 42-43 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatntable over

Xu et al in view of Bethune et al and further in view of Ohmine.

Claims 41, 64-65 and 82 have been held allowable, but are objected to

as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Independent claims 19, 44, 47, 53, 62 and 78 have now each been

amended to recite further invention, the receiving of electromagnetic energy

by at least one carbon nanotube, converting and radiating electromagnetic

energy by a carbon nanotube. None of the prior art shows or suggest such

18

Application No. 10/646,945 REMARKS

Art Unit: 1762

**Examiner: Bashore** 

teachings as is taught by this Application, and as recited in those amended independent claims. Those independent claims are hence believed allowable.

The claims depending upon those deemed allowable independent claims are themselves deemed allowable, None of the art, neither Xu et al, nor Bethune et al, nor Smalley et al nor Walker et al, nor Ohmine show or suggest any such receiving, converting and radiating of electromagnetic energy from a manufacture of carbon nanotubes on a substrate, as Applicant Crowley does in this Application.

Independent claim 53 has been amended to recite the growing of a first carbon nanotube from a <u>first</u> growth site and growing a second nanotube from that <u>same first</u> growth site. Nothing in the art teaches that growth of a nanotube from a single growth site. The dependent claims depending therefrom further recite the nature of the alignment of those nanotubes. Independent claim 53 and those depending therefrom are thus believed allowable, such action respectfully being requested.

Claims 64 - 77 inclusive have been cancelled as being superfluous.

Application No. 10/646,945 REMARKS

**Art Unit: 1762** 

**Examiner: Bashore** 

It is believed that the claims remaining in this Application, as amended, are allowable over the art, and passage to allowance is solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that any issue remains unresolved, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned for a discussion of same.

Respectfully submitted

Don Halgren Applicant's rep. Reg. No. 27056

35 Central Street Manchester, MA 01944

ph: 978-526-8000